1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
3	EL PASO DIVISION
4	
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) No. EP-12-CR-2106-DB
6) EP-13-CR-370-DB)
7	vs.) El Paso, Texas)
8	MARCO ANTONIO DELGADO) August 8, 2013
9	MENTAL COMPETENCY HEARING
10	
11	
12	<u>APPEARANCES</u> :
13	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: MS. DEBRA P. KANOF &
14	MS. ANNA E. ARREOLA Assistant United States Attorneys
15	700 E. San Antonio, Suite 200 El Paso, Texas 79901
16	
17	FOR THE DEFENDANT: MR. RAY VELARDE
18	Attorney at Law 1216 Montana El Paso, Texas 79902
19	El raso, lexas 19902
20	MR. RICHARD ESPER
21	Attorney at Law 801 N. El Paso Street, Suite 225
22	El Paso, Texas 79902
23	
24	Proceedings reported by stenotype. Transcript produced by
25	computer-aided transcription.

The clerk will call the cases. 1 THE COURT: 2 THE CLERK: EP-12-CR-2106 and EP-13-CR-370, Marco 3 Antonio Delgado. MS. KANOF: Debra Kanof and Anna Arreola for the 4 5 United States, Your Honor. 6 MR. VELARDE: Ray Velarde, Your Honor, and Richard 7 Esper on behalf of Marco Delgado on both cases. 8 THE COURT: Why don't we get the Defendant up there 9 with you. 10 MR. VELARDE: Okay. THE COURT: You are Marco Antonio Delgado? 11 12 THE DEFENDANT: Correct. THE COURT: Okay. Counsel, you've been provided with 13 14 a copy of Dr. Briones's psychiatric evaluation. He has 15 determined (Reading) Even though Mr. Delgado is suffering from 16 an anxiety disorder, he has a rational as well as factual 17 understanding of the proceedings against him and has sufficient 18 ability to consult with his attorney and to assist in his own 19 defense with a reasonable degree of rational understanding. 20 Quite frankly, that was my feeling all along. 21 Any reason not to concur with Dr. Briones's 22 evaluation? 23 None, Your Honor. MR. VELARDE: MS. KANOF: I have marked Government's Exhibit 1 in 24 25 Cause Number EP-13-CR-370 and Government's Exhibit 1 in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

understand --

MS. KANOF: October 30th?

EP-12-CR-2106. I've marked the psychiatric evaluation for entry into the record, Your Honor. We move the Government's exhibit be admitted. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. ESPER: No objection. THE COURT: The psychiatrist evaluation will be admitted. MR. ESPER: Your Honor, in connection with that report there is a notation by Dr. Briones about a neurological exam, which I think should be performed just to make sure that there are no repercussions. THE COURT: No. I'm not going to order it. If you want to pay for it yourselves, maybe the marshals may. I may order the marshals to. I'm not going to order any more evaluations. Counsel, there's no question in my mind this man is competent. He was competent from the very beginning. He's more competent than 99 percent of the individuals that come in here before me. I'm not going to go through any other evaluation. This case is going to be set for trial. Both cases are going to be set for trial. I told you earlier today that I was considering a date of next month. I gave you a date of October the 30th.

```
1
              MR. VELARDE: No.
                                  September the 30th?
 2
               THE COURT: September 30th.
 3
              Now, you-all had requested something. I told you we
 4
      would discuss it at this time.
 5
              MS. KANOF: Yes, Your Honor. The -- we discussed the
 6
      setting of the case looking at each other's calendars and came
 7
      up with a date of October 21st.
              Mr. Velarde and Mr. Esper are in trial in another
8
 9
      court on a fairly complex public corruption two-Defendant case
10
      that begins September 9th, and I don't know how long that case
      is going to take, but I know it's fairly significant. I'm
11
12
      familiar with the evidence. There's a lot of evidence.
13
              And I am available anytime except the first week of
14
     November. The week of November 3rd I'll be on temporary duty
15
      with the Department in Washington, D.C., for a week, so that's
     how we came to the October 21st date.
16
17
              We also checked on availability of witnesses because
18
      we have witnesses in foreign countries.
19
               THE COURT: On the first -- this is the first one?
20
      The first Indictment?
21
              MS. KANOF: Yes, this is the EP-12 case.
22
              THE COURT: The money laundering.
23
              MS. KANOF:
                           The money laundering Indictment.
24
      sir.
25
               THE COURT: Counsel?
```

```
1
               MR. ESPER: October 21st is fine with us, Your Honor.
 2
               THE COURT: I want you to request it on the record.
 3
      don't want any problem with a speedy trial motion later on.
 4
               MR. ESPER:
                          I'm requesting October 21st, Your Honor.
 5
               MR. VELARDE: And I join in that request.
 6
               THE COURT:
                          On?
 7
               MR. VELARDE: October 21st.
               THE COURT: Yeah, but that's on 12-CR-2106, right?
 8
 9
               MR. ESPER:
                           Right.
10
               THE COURT: What about the other one?
               MS. KANOF: We hadn't discussed another date,
11
12
      Your Honor, but anytime after the first week of November
1.3
     because again I'm going to be --
               THE COURT: Let me asking something. How long do you
14
15
      anticipate it will take to try the first -- the first case?
16
               MS. KANOF:
                          Three days.
17
               THE COURT:
                           Three days.
18
               MS. KANOF: Not more than a week. I don't know what
19
      the Defense has to offer, but based on the evidence as I've
20
      reviewed it, of course, the Court knows I'm new to the case,
21
      but I have thoroughly reviewed the file and I don't see more
22
      than a week at the very most.
23
               THE COURT: At the most.
24
               MS. KANOF: Because there's some complex forfeiture
25
      issues if we had to try them, we'd have to try the forfeiture
```

```
if he's convicted to the jury as well, so as much a week.
 1
 2
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. How long will the second one take?
 3
               MS. KANOF: That one's a little longer. That one has
 4
      some more complex issues and really probably not more than a
 5
      week, either seven days at the most.
 6
               THE COURT: Okay. Give me a date in November, Ruben.
 7
      We'll try that one in November.
               Just for the record, October 21st is set for the trial
8
9
     date in Cause Number EP-12-CR-2106.
10
               In Cause Number EP-13-CR-370 --
               THE CLERK: November the 18th.
11
12
               THE COURT: November the 18th. Okay. The usual time
13
      of 9:00 on both.
               I asked you to come and put on the record any offers
14
15
      that you may have in regards to both cases.
16
               MS. KANOF: Yes, Your Honor. After an evaluation of
17
      the case, I discussed with my supervisors in San Antonio, an
18
      offer, and based on the fact that the Defendant, I think it's
19
      pretty generous offer, and based on the fact that the Defendant
20
      faces 20 years on each Indictment which can be stacked, the
21
      Government made an offer to Defense Counsel yesterday of nine
      years on each Indictment to run concurrent on an 11(C)(1)(c).
22
23
      And that's -- and it's below the guidelines as well, but of
24
      course, saving the time and money the Government is important.
25
               THE COURT: Is that your understanding, Counsel?
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
MR. VELARDE: Your Honor, we were advised of this
yesterday and we broached the subject with Mr. Delgado earlier
today. We would like to continue our visit with him regarding
         THE COURT: I understand. I'm not going to make
you -- I don't need a commitment from you right now.
        MR. VELARDE: Yes, sir.
        THE COURT: But I do want to set a time limit.
        MS. KANOF: Oh, we did. We actually negotiated an
Ellis deadline as well, Your Honor, but that was before we knew
the actual trial date, but assuming we were going to trial on
the 21st, our Ellis deadline was September 15th, correct?
        MR. VELARDE: Correct.
                    September 15th.
        MS. KANOF:
        MR. ESPER:
                    Or 16th. The 15th is a Sunday.
                    Oh, okay. So the 13th.
        MS. KANOF:
        THE COURT:
                    Of what?
        MS. KANOF:
                    September the 13th, which is a Friday.
        THE COURT:
                    September the 15th?
                    The 13th, which is a Friday.
        MS. KANOF:
        THE COURT:
                    13th.
        MS. KANOF: The 16th is a Monday.
        MR. VELARDE: Can we make it on Monday the 16th?
        MS. KANOF:
                   Okay. Monday, September the 16th, we're
in agreement, Your Honor, as the Ellis deadline.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: 16 de septiembre it is.
 2
                           Muy bien.
               MS. KANOF:
 3
               THE COURT: If he doesn't accept it, I --
 4
               You'll leave it open until then?
 5
               MS. KANOF: I will, Your Honor.
 6
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
 7
               MS. KANOF:
                           Yes.
                           Anything else we need now, Counsel?
 8
               THE COURT:
 9
               MR. ESPER: Nothing more, Your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: Mr. Velarde --
11
               MR. VELARDE: Mr. Delgado, Your Honor, is --
12
               THE COURT: -- you looked perplexed?
13
               MR. VELARDE: Well, Mr. Delgado, Your Honor, is
14
      self-insured. We have the ability to pay for the neurological
15
      exam and we're going to make arrangements through the family to
16
      obtain the neurological exam.
17
               All I'm asking of the Court is to please assist us in
18
      facilitating that.
19
                          Well, file your motion.
               THE COURT:
20
               MR. VELARDE: Thank you very much.
21
               MS. KANOF: The Government, by the way, has no
22
      objection, Your Honor, because the Government is always
23
      thinking based on the recommendation of Dr. Briones of a 2255,
24
      so in order to save Steve Garcia any work, we are -- concur
25
      that they pay for a neurological exam.
```

1 THE COURT: Okay. File your motion and I'll take it up with the marshals. 2 3 MR. VELARDE: Thank you very much. MR. ESPER: Your Honor, may I approach sidebar with 4 5 your clerk on another matter? 6 THE COURT: Yes. 7 You-all may be excused. 8 MS. KANOF: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 10 11 12 13 14 CERTIFICATION 15 16 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from 17 the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. I 18 further certify that the transcript fees and format comply with 19 those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial Conference of 20 the United States. 21 22 Date: December 6, 2017 23 /s/ Maria del Socorro Briggs 24 Maria del Socorro Briggs 25